

## **THE VACCINE: PRUDENCE**

February 5, 2021

Over the past few weeks, *Veritas Report* has been examining the safety, efficacy, and moral aspects of the two experimental COVID-19 vaccines. This becomes even more necessary as the small but growing number of side effects, including [death](#), continue to grow. While millions of Americans have initially received the experimental vaccines safely, the South Florida Sun Sentinel reports that as of January 22 there have been over 8,500 adverse event reports (e.g. reactions), among those are 329 deaths, including 213 among those 65 or older. [\[link\]](#)

In our last edition, [The Vaccine: For the Praise of Men](#), we asked if the possibility exists that Catholic Church leaders were not closely examining the underlying science before issuing moral guidance and statements endorsing the vaccine and if these were prudent decisions for hastily rushed vaccines that we know little about.

For this edition of the *Veritas Report*, we intend to tie together our last four editions on the experimental COVID-19 vaccine, and pick up on last edition's closing question and further ask: *In light of what we know, is it prudent to take the COVID-19 vaccine at this time?*

### **Rush to Market?**

As we examined in our edition, [The Vaccine: Safety First](#), a normal vaccine can take between 5-10 years to produce, test, and market. Yet vaccine manufacturers claim to have developed a "successful" COVID-19 vaccine in less than 9 months; to which *Veritas Report* raised the question: *Are these experimental vaccines being hastily rushed to market without long term safety testing?*

While we have already noted the deaths possibly linked to the vaccine at the beginning of this report and in our report, [The Vaccine: Counter-Productive?](#), there are also other more concerning reports coming to light that are confirming this possibility. In December the U.K. government issued [guidance](#) for pregnant women to avoid the Pfizer vaccine, and in mid-January, the World Health Organization (WHO) issued a similar statement for expectant mothers [to avoid](#) the Moderna vaccine:

*"While pregnancy puts women at a higher risk of severe COVID-19, the use of this vaccine in pregnant women is currently not recommended, unless they are at risk of high exposure,' the official WHO status report released Tuesday reads."* [\[link\]](#)

(Ed. note: The WHO did revise their recommendation January 30 with a more [generic statement](#) merely acknowledging the lack of data regarding pregnancies and the Moderna vaccine)

Yet sadly, these warnings turned into reality for 8 American expectant mothers, who miscarried shortly after receiving these experimental vaccines, according to a [LifeSiteNews report](#) referring to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control's [Vaccine Adverse Event Reaction System](#) (VAERS) January 22<sup>nd</sup> update [\[link\]](#). We provide an excerpt from one of the reports:

*"[Patient] was 18 weeks pregnant at the time of the vaccine. Second pregnancy. [Patient] is a physician. Pregnancy was entirely normal up to that time. On 1/18/2021, she began to have heavy vaginal bleeding probably due to a placental abruption and subsequently delivered at 18 weeks. Baby was stillborn. Ultrasound done 1/15/2021 normal. **Lethal event for the fetus.** The patient did well (emphasis added)." (VAERS Report # 958755)*

*Veritas Report asks: If this information is accurate, does either of the approved COVID-19 experimental vaccines pose a threat to at least some pregnancies? If we are concerned about preserving human life, has the vaccine been truly tested to ensure it won't harm the unborn?*

*If these reports of miscarriages, deaths and lesser reactions are occurring, did the pharmaceutical companies do enough research? Should these vaccines have undergone more rigorous testing? Or are the American people the "guinea pigs"?*

*If Catholic Church leaders are concerned about protecting the unborn, as a [preeminent priority](#), did its leaders closely examine the science and data on how it affects pregnant women – all to curb a virus in which many, if not all of these pregnant women (and their unborn babies) would have survived? If the data was not available, was it prudent to endorse the vaccine?*

*What else do we not know about the vaccine side effects? Could new side effects develop years later?*

### **Efficacy Concerns**

In light of the risks of receiving the experimental vaccine, *Veritas Report* also raised the question of whether the COVID-19 vaccine will even be effective in protecting the recipient from COVID-19. At the time, [the WHO](#) and other [health officials](#) were uncertain whether the vaccine would protect the recipient from the virus or even prevent its transmission as certain nursing home patients in Spain learned after testing positive for COVID-19 *after* being vaccinated. [\[link\]](#)

However, in a recent address to the World Economic Forum (see our edition, [The Great Reset](#)), the head of Pfizer, appears to confirm the inefficacy of their vaccine when he indicates that new mutations may require new vaccines:

*"Speaking at the virtual 2021 Davos World Economic Forum, Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla said he believed there was a "high possibility" that current coronavirus vaccines would not be effective against new strains of the virus in the future, though that hasn't happened yet."* [\[link\]](#)

This came at the same time that some patients were testing positive for COVID-19 after receiving the vaccine, as we saw last week, when Massachusetts Congressman Steve Lynch, tested positive for COVID-19 after receiving the second dose of Pfizer's experimental vaccine. [\[link\]](#)

*Veritas Report asks: If the vaccine is failing to protect recipients against COVID-19, and may not even prevent transmission to others, wouldn't it be better simply to rely on the existing human immune system to defeat the virus? Would not therapeutics and preventative measures be more effective, safe, and less costly? Do we need to take a rushed vaccine that may not even work?*

## Introducing New Technologies Into Society

In last week's edition, we shared an interview that renowned theologian and exorcist, [Father Chad Ripperger](#) gave on the morality of abortion linked vaccines. During the interview, Father Ripperger also covered the prudential aspect of the vaccines that should concern Catholics. Namely, the introduction of new mRNA technology into the human race. For brevity, we summarize his three points:

1. *St. Thomas Aquinas, in his three categories of natural inclination, states God obliges Man to preserve his being (i.e. his body). Fr. Ripperger observes that to modify the body's DNA (through mRNA technology) without sufficient cause would fail to uphold this obligation.*
2. *The introduction of a new biological pathogen into society (mRNA technology via the vaccine) for the first time could cause higher death rates. Prudence would suggest we approach this matter cautiously.*
3. *Fear is driving the calls for a vaccine. Catholics should not use fear but right judgment.*

### [Answers on Vaccination Morality w/ Fr. Ripperger](#)

*Veritas Report asks: While a debate exists over whether mRNA technology actually alters DNA, has the impact of mRNA technology on the human body been fully assessed? Have scientists done enough research on mRNA before applying it to vaccines? Should Catholic Church leaders trust them without question?*

## Population Control Advocates & Vaccines

It's no secret among *Veritas Report* readers that the biggest "boosters" of the COVID-19 vaccine are, as we reported in the same-named edition: [The Population Control Advocates](#). Notably among them are Bill and Melinda Gates, who through their [namesake foundation](#), have made it clear [that vaccines and population control go hand in hand](#). Bill Gates, whose late father, William Gates, Sr. [served as general counsel for Planned Parenthood](#), has become the leading advocate for COVID-19 vaccines while the Gates Foundation has donated [millions to Planned Parenthood](#) over the years:

- [Bill Gates, the Virus and the Quest to Vaccinate the World](#)
- [Bill Gates: Does saving more lives lead to overpopulation?](#) (video)
- [60 Minutes Extra: Gates On Population Rates](#) (video)

*Veritas Report asks: As we asked in our edition [The Population Control Advocates](#), with the clear link between the Gates Foundation, Planned Parenthood, vaccines, Dr. Anthony Fauci (See [Who Are the Public Health Authorities?](#)), and other organizations promoting vaccines, is there a bigger agenda than COVID-19 here? Should we not be concerned, as the [Kenya Bishops were in 2014](#), about the vaccine ingredients?*

*Due to the vaccine's connection between population control advocates, is enough known about the vaccine to ensure that this isn't a form of population control?*

## Lack of Liability

*The Carolina Family Coalition is a 501c3 organization dedicated to defend life, family, and culture in the Carolinas.*

*To subscribe or view more issues visit: [www.prolifecharlotte.org/report](http://www.prolifecharlotte.org/report) Questions? Contact: [info@carolinafamilycoalition.org](mailto:info@carolinafamilycoalition.org)*

Another very troubling aspect to vaccine safety and accuracy is the complete lack of accountability on the part of the pharmaceutical industry as Congress has provided [immunity](#) to vaccine manufacturers over injuries. As we reported in [The Vaccine: Safety First](#), the lack of liability prevents the market place, through legal action and insurance underwriting, from ensuring high quality and safety standards in the industry. As reported by Reuters, Pfizer is forecasting to make \$15 billion in revenues on its vaccine sales – despite continuing questions about safety and efficacy. [\[link\]](#)

*Veritas Report asks: Without the guardrails of liability and insurance underwriting requirements, are pharmaceutical companies placing profits ahead of safety? Knowing Man's fallen nature, are companies in such arrangements even capable of placing safety ahead of profit? Should we inject ourselves with a product that could seriously impact our life from a company that is immune from legal liability?*

*Veritas Report further asks: Since governments are the major purchasers of the vaccines, is there even any free-market oversight of the vaccine's efficacy?*

## Abortion and Vaccines

Lastly, in our edition, [The Vaccine: The Innocent Victims](#), *Veritas Report* documented the horrific 85-year history of the use of aborted fetal tissue in vaccine development, as both the Pfizer and Moderna experimental vaccines were at least tested using the cells from children who were aborted. More disturbing was the revelation that harvested organs used in vaccine research likely needed to come from babies born alive, as biologist Pamela Acker noted in a [recent interview](#). Acker also noted that it is likely that many aborted babies were used to develop these vaccines – not just a few.

This past week, LifeSiteNews provided evidence of this last point that many aborted babies were involved in vaccine development from a direct source: Dr. Stanley Plotkin, the "Godfather of Vaccines". Plotkin, the developer of the rubella vaccine, appeared in a 2018 court deposition involving vaccines and aborted fetal tissue and admitted that many aborted "fetuses" including dismembered body parts were used for vaccine research during his effort to develop a rubella vaccine. [\[link\]](#)

*Veritas Report asks: How little do we know about the link between abortion and modern vaccine development? Were the Catholic Bishops aware of this connection before they issued statements endorsing abortion-linked vaccines? How many more revelations will come out in the weeks and months ahead? Is it possible that the science is unsettled and may require Church leaders to revise their statements?*

*Do we really want to receive a vaccine developed or tested on babies that were tortured, dismembered, and murdered?*

In conclusion, *Veritas Report* has covered many different topics over the past few weeks related to concerns about the COVID-19 vaccine. *Veritas Report* asks: *Taken together and considering the safety, efficacy, liability and moral issues and concerns, is it prudent to take the COVID-19 vaccine?*